LAW OFFICES OF

ANDERSON & POOLE

EDWARD G POOLE EPOOLE@ADPLAW COM

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

601 CALIFORNIA STREET

SUITE 1300

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108-2818

TELEPHONE (415) 956-6413 FACSIMILE (415) 956-6416

September 7, 2006

Federal Elections Commission Office of General Counsel 999 E Street, NW Washington, D C. 20463

RE: MUR 5773

Dear Madam or Sir.

FEDER TION
COUNTIENT TION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

BO SEP 18 A 9: 59

We are in receipt of your Amendment to Complaint filed by Eve Del Castello apparently against Republicans United for San Francisco (RUSF) and Citizens for a Better San Francisco (CBSF). While those two organizations are separate, in the interest of resolving this matter expedițiously, the treasurer of each organization will sign this letter.

First, the complaint is absolutely unintelligible. To call Ms. Del Castello's letter a "complaint" strains credulity. It appears to be a handwritten screed by a former candidate for office, and is directed solely at Michael DeNunzio, who ran against her in a primary election. How CBSF and RUSF are implicated in this is unstated. She has alleged no wrongdoing on the part of either organization that we are able to determine.

Regardless, let us explain the purpose of RUSF and CBSF. These organizations exist for the sole purpose of electing candidates to the local (City and County of San Francisco) central committee. Under California law, each county is required to have a central committee for each recognized party. Those candidates for the United States Congress who win the primary are elected to the central committee on an ex-officio basis. Therefore, any "support" for these candidates is solely in their capacity as potential ex-offfico members of the central committee. We do not support the candidates in any fashion after the primary election.

Given our role in the electoral process, we are Qualified State/Local Political Organizations. As we are required to report to both the California elections agency as well as the local San Francisco Department of Elections, we therefore are not required to make any filings with the Federal Elections

Committee. CBSF confirmed this in response to an inquiry from your office dated February 3, 2006, from Marsha Ramirez, Director, EO Examinations. We confirmed that we are not required to file Form 8872.

I trust the above satisfies your concerns and that you be able to confirm that no action should be taken against wither RUSF or CBSF. Given the fact we take no position in the race for U.S. Congress, and do not raise any money for any such races, we do not believe any complaint along those lines has any merit.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions

Very truly yours,

EDWARD G. POOLE Treasurer, CBSF

HOWARD EPSTEIN Treasurer, RUSF



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Office of General Counsel

999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL Please use one form for each Respondent/Witness FAX (202) 219-3923

MUR: <u>5773</u>
COUNSEL: Edward G. Poole
FIRM: Anderson & Fade
ADDRESS: GOI California St, #1300 San Francisco, CA 94108
TELEPHONE - OFFICE: (46) 956-64/3
FAX: (415) 956-6416
The above-named individual is hereby designated as my counsel and is authorized to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission and to act on my behalf before the Commission.
9-7-06 Jewel & Cysta Treasurer Date Signature Title
RESPONDENT/WITNESS NAME (PRINT): Republicans 6-5 United for San Francisco
MAILING ADDRESS: PMB 3741, 268 Bush St.
San Francisco, (A 94104
TELEPHONE – HOME: ()
OFFICE: ()

Information is being sought as part of an investigation being conducted by the Federal Election Commission and the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12)(A) apply. This section prohibits making public any investigation conducted by the Federal Election Commission without the express written consent of the person under investigation



STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL Please use one form for each Respondent/Witness FAX (202) 219-3923

Information is being sought as part of an investigation being conducted by the Federal Election Commission and the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12)(A) apply. This section prohibits making public any investigation conducted by the Federal Election Commission without the express written consent of the person under investigation